VETERANS MEMORIAL RESTORATION: PRESERVING HISTORY AND RESTORING PRIDE

Summary
The Grand Jury received a complaint that the San Jose Office of Cultural Affairs (OCA) has taken over a year to repair vandalism to the San Jose Veterans Memorial (SJVM) located on Park Avenue near the Guadalupe River. The complaint also stated that no effort has been made to deter future vandalism. The Grand Jury sought to determine why the repair took so long and what the City of San Jose’s (the City) plan is to mitigate future vandalism.

The damaged memorial was displayed for over a year with a temporary plastic cover. The memorial’s restoration was delayed and several published completion dates were missed. The future plans for improved security and ongoing funding for the memorial are not clear.

Background
The SJVM was dedicated on Veterans Day 1997. The $1.25M memorial facility was funded by the City and more than 200 individual donors, foundations and businesses. After the SJVM was erected, the remaining money (approximately $80,000) was deposited into what is now called “Fund 3330 SJ Veterans Memorial,” an annuity account for on-going maintenance managed by Silicon Valley Community Foundation (SVCF).
The OCA is responsible for overseeing the memorial's maintenance.\(^1\) According to the City's public art website, the memorial is described as follows:

The artwork is comprised of 76 white flags on stainless steel poles in formation beyond a wall of glass imprinted with photos of local soldiers and communications sent back home during times of service; the threshold in front of the glass is inlaid with military insignias.\(^2\)

The memorial faces Park Avenue and is surrounded by the river, trees and the Center for the Performing Arts. The Grand Jury observed that the night lighting is limited. From 1997 through early 2010, the memorial experienced only minor damage paid for with the maintenance annuity. From May 2010 through October 2010, three glass panels were broken. This motivated the OCA to replace all the panels with more resilient glass at a cost that exceeded the available maintenance funds.

**Methodology**

The Grand Jury conducted interviews with the pertinent City officials, including:

- Director of the Office of Cultural Affairs
- Commissioner of the Public Arts Commission
- Original consultant and project manager
- Current project manager

The Grand Jury also reviewed financial reports from the SVCF and the original contract with the artist. The memorial’s site was visited periodically and restoration progress was monitored and photographed. All documents reviewed are listed in Appendix A.

**Discussion**

During the long delay in restoration, the damaged panels were covered with plywood and the overall display was cloaked in a temporary plastic shroud (see Figures 1 and 2). The temporary covering became ripped and remained in poor condition for long periods of time as recorded in photographs. The OCA restoration team did not keep the public informed of project status and the delays. Posted information at the memorial was not kept up to date (Figure 3).

**Restoration Delays**

With the loss of three panels in six months of 2010, the OCA decided to replace all 14 panels with a more resilient glass material. The OCA stated that the following issues delayed the restoration:

- Lengthy time selecting the more resilient glass

---

\(^1\) January 19, 2011, memo to the Mayor and San Jose City Council from Kim Walesh, “Repairs to the San Jose/Santa Clara Valley Veterans Memorial.”

\(^2\) www.sanjoseculture.org
- Reduction in staff (original restoration project manager was laid off)
- The City’s negotiation to procure from the original panel manufacturer its proprietary panel fabrication information
- Costs in excess of available funds

Figure 1: Front of Veterans Memorial, after damage, with temporary plastic shroud.

Figure 2: Back of Veterans Memorial, after damage, with temporary plywood and outdated notification posted.
After several missed target dates, the new panels were installed on February 23, 2012. The memorial was vandalized within a week of its unveiling and subsequently repaired. Figure 4 shows the latest restoration of the memorial.

**Future Funding for the Memorial**

The City, through the OCA, is responsible for Memorial maintenance. The OCA is funded by the Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT), and the memorial maintenance was supplemented by the remaining endowment. The Memorial endowment is essentially depleted, meaning the OCA will need to pay for future maintenance with TOT funding. TOT funding is how the OCA funds maintenance of other works of public art.

In light of recent vandalism, the OCA’s selected new glass did prove to be more resilient, but glass is still fragile. In addition, the replacement panels were much less expensive, at $3-4K each versus the $15-18K per panel cost for the original panels.
Security

This memorial, created from fragile material and located in an open park setting in downtown San Jose, presents a security challenge. The early lack of significant damage or vandalism fostered a false sense of security. With the more recent damage, OCA staff recognized a need for greater security. According to the January 19, 2011 memo, “Staff believes the memorial will continue to be susceptible to vandalism and is also investigating security measures, insurance and alternate materials for future replacement panels.” The OCA further recognized that improved night lighting was needed, but the budgeted funds were not adequate to pay for restoration as well as security lighting and/or cameras.

Ownership of the Fabrication Intellectual Property

According to interviews, the original contract for panel fabrication was not clear with respect to which party owned the rights to the panel fabrication information. As a result, when the City attempted to acquire the fabrication information in order to solicit new bids to manufacture the new panels, the original panel manufacturer claimed the contract did not entitle the City to that information. The City eventually negotiated a price to receive the plans, but the negotiation caused additional delay and cost.
Other Concerns

The OCA reports it does not have a firm plan regarding disposition of the remaining original panels. In the meantime, the panels have been stored in crates.

Conclusions

The Grand Jury concluded that the restoration project had excessive delays. The restoration project took over 18 months to complete. It is clear that the memorial remains vulnerable to future vandalism as another incident of panel damage occurred within one week of the 14-glass panel restoration. The OCA does not have a comprehensive security plan for the memorial.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding 1

The restoration plan and project status was not communicated by the OCA consistently and accurately to the public.

Recommendation 1

The City of San Jose should regularly communicate public art restoration projects to the public in a timely and accurate manner.

Finding 2

The OCA recognizes the need for improved security measures, including improved night lighting and security cameras.

Recommendation 2

The City of San Jose should give funding priority to providing an adequate security solution for the SJVM.

Finding 3

Obtaining copyright and licensing rights from the original manufacturer delayed the SJVM restoration project because such terms were not in that contract.

Recommendation 3

The City of San Jose should examine and revise contract language used to purchase public art. The City’s goal should be to obtain specific rights to use or license public art designs and/or manufacturing processes, as required by the City, for an indefinite time period.
Appendix A: List of Documents Reviewed

1. City of San Jose Monument Policy (Policy No. 9-14; 03/23/2010)
7. Silicon Valley Community Foundation General Ledger Report (2011)
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