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A Unique Housing Type

Homeowner owns mobilehome structure

Someone else operates park

Landowner owns space

Homeowner pays “space” rent to operator/landowner
SJ Mobilehome Park Characteristics

- 59 mobilehome parks
- Almost 11,000 homes
- About 35,000 residents
- 12 of the parks are for people 55+
- Annual increases to space rents are limited to the maximum percentage allowed in the City’s Mobilehome Rent Control Ordinance (3-7% per year based on Consumer Price Index).
Council Direction

- Explore policies and Zoning Code provisions to further the preservation of existing mobilehome parks (MHPs)
- Facilitate a public process
- Develop staff recommendations
Potential Policy/Ordinance Changes

1. Amend General Plan Text
2. Amend Zoning Code
3. Create a new “City Council Policy”
4. Explore “Stay In Business” Concept
“Stay In Business” Public Outreach

3 Meetings with Brandenburg, Staedler & Moore
2 Focus Groups (Park Residents)
2* Focus Groups (Park Owners)
2 Public Hearings (HCDC and CEDC)
“Stay In Business” Objectives

- Balance economic incentives to park owners with stability and affordability for current park residents.
- Facilitate capital improvements
- Protect MHPs from conversion for 20 years
“Stay In Business” Overview

- Park owners voluntarily opt-in
- Term of 20 + years
- Capital Improvement pass through - capped
- Capital Improvement Assistance Program (10% of homes)
- Increase in base rent with improvements when an in place transfer occurs - capped
“Stay In Business” Park Owner Input

- Some parks have aging infrastructure and rent control doesn’t allow enough revenue for repairs and replacement
- Minimal financial return on new capital improvements
- Owners do not like existing petition process for capital improvement pass through
- Rent control inflates home values but park owners do not share in the value it creates
“Stay In Business” Park Resident Input

- Many opposed moving forward
- Benefits mostly for park owners
- 20 Years was not enough of a benefit
- Worried about decreased home values
- Concept had too many unknowns
- Resentment about residents having to pay for repairs
“Stay In Business” Outstanding Issues

- Stakeholders are not at the table
- Should residents have a voice in whether a park changes to opt in?
- Is 20 years a sufficient commitment?
- Should the Capital Improvement definition be more limited?
- What should the rent increase cap be for both the Capital Improvement and vacancy decontrol?
“Stay In Business” Outstanding Issues

- Is the Capital Improvement Assistance Program (10% of homes) enough?
- Need to discuss legal issues of how it could be implemented given that it would change provisions in the Mobilehome Rent Control Ordinance.
## Upcoming Meetings

1. City Council 2/09/16
2. City Council (If Continued) 2/23/16
3. Moratorium on Conversion Expires 2/25/16

[www.sanjoseca.gov/mobilehomes](http://www.sanjoseca.gov/mobilehomes)
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